UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,974	09/20/2005	Shekaripuram Narayanaswamy Ramachandran	PPL-5-PCT-US	3464
22827 7590 01/07/2008 DORITY & MANNING, P.A.			EXAMINER	
POST OFFICE	BOX 1449		HURLEY, SHAUN R	
GREENVILLE, SC 29602-1449			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			01/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/549,974	RAMACHANDRAN ET AL.			
÷	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Shaun R. Hurley	3765			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>				
	Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Oc	<u>ctober 2006</u> .				
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.		•			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21 and 26</u> is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) <u>22-25 and 27-29</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and and an array domination				
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)🖾	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	·			
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 20 September 2005 is/a	are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ obj	ected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
••	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
			•			
Attachment(s)						
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🛛 Infor	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/20/06</u> . 6)						

W

10/549,974 Art Unit: 3765

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to because Figures 6 and 7 are illegible. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph <u>on a separate sheet</u> within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

10/549,974 Art Unit: 3765

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 22-25 and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In regards to claim 22, it is unclear what Applicant is attempting to claim with the multiple references to claim 18. Are there multiple claim 18 apparatus present? How is this possible? If not, then the claim should be rewritten to reflect the text which is claimed, instead of the repeated reference to claim 18.

In regards to claim 23, it is unclear what Applicant is attempting to claim since he references back to claims 16 and 18, but not in the alternative. This is improper, since the ordinarily skilled artisan would have no idea how to follow the claim as written.

In regards to claim 24, it is unclear what Applicant is attempting to claim since it refers back to claim 22, yet also depends from claim 18, from which claim 22 depends.

In regards to claim 25, if Examiner cannot understand claim 24, he cannot understand claim 25, which depends from 24.

In regards to claim 27, it is unclear what Applicant is attempting to claim. Specifically how is claim 27 different from claim 26? Why is it written like it is? Why isn't it "the method

10/549,974 Art Unit: 3765

of processing fiber samples of claim 16, further comprising the steps of..."? As it is, Examiner cannot determine if this is a new method, or the old method with an additional step.

In regards to claims 28 and 29, if Examiner cannot understand claim 27, he cannot understand claims 28 and 29, which depend from 27.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-21 and 26 are allowed.

## Reasons for Indicating Allowable Subject Matter

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The claims as listed above are found to be allowable because the prior art of record neither teaches nor reasonably suggests the recitations found therein, including method and apparatus for creating end aligned fibers for testing.

### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ramachandran et al (6085584) and Ghorashi et al (5178007) all teach what is well known in the art.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shaun R. Hurley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4986. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Fri, 8:00 am 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Welch can be reached on (571) 272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/549,974

Art Unit: 3765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shaun R Hurley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3765

SRH 04 January 2008